

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-36042-2023(O&M)
Date of decision:-27.7.2023

Baldev Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present : Mr.R.S. Mamli, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J.(ORAL)

1. This second petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for pre-arrest bail has been filed by the petitioner Baldev Ram. The first petition bearing CRM-M-36703 of 2020 was dismissed vide a detailed order dated 12.1.2021, copy of which has been placed on record by the petitioner himself as Annexure P7.

2. Hon'ble Apex Court in judgment **G.R. Ananda Babu Versus The State of Tamil Nadu & Anr.** arising out of SLP(Crl.) No.213 of 2021 has observed as under:

“As a matter of fact, successive anticipatory bail applications ought not to be entertained and more so, when the case diary and the status report, clearly indicated that the accused (respondent

*No.2) is absconding and not cooperating with the investigation.
The specious reason of change in circumstances cannot be
invoked for successive anticipatory bail applications, once it is
rejected by a speaking order and that too by the same Judge.”*

3. Although learned counsel for the petitioner states that all the other co-accused have been granted pre-arrest and regular bail but that is not the reason to entertain the present second petition for pre-arrest bail.

4. Therefore, the present petition is not maintainable and stands dismissed accordingly.

27.7.2023
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No