

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

225+2

CWP-23695-2017
Date of Decision: 30.11.2023

Pardeep Kumar Jain and Others

...Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Alok Jain, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr. Deepanjay Sharma, DAG, Punjab
Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate for respondent Nos.3 and 4

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the outset, submits that case of the petitioners is covered by Division Bench judgment dated 12.12.2012 of this Court in *LPA No.2054 of 2012, Union of India, etc. v. Moti Ram and Others*. The Division Bench of this Court has upheld judgment dated 26.03.2012 (Annexure P-40) of Single Bench of this Court in *CWP No.4262 of 2006, Moti Ram v. Union of India*.
2. Faced with this, learned counsel for the respondents expressed his inability to controvert the applicability of the aforesaid judgments. He also conceded that judgments have already been implemented.
3. In the wake of statements of both sides, the petition stands allowed in terms of judgment dated 12.12.2012 passed by this Court in *LPA No.2054 of 2012, Union of India, etc. v. Moti Ram and Others*.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

30.11.2023
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No