

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.36389 of 2023

Date of decision: 30th November, 2023

Harjinder Singh @ Harjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Ms. Ashu Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Mitul S. Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, Asst. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.198 dated 06.09.2022 under Sections 420 and 120-B of the IPC registered at Police Station City Tarn Taran.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 28.08.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“While drawing the attention of this Court to the allegations levelled in the FIR, learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner has not been named therein nor any allegations levelled against him qua any assurance given that if ₹ 3,85,000/- would be given by the complainant, they would manage to get good bands in IELTS. Learned counsel submits that it is evident that the petitioner, who does not have any criminal antecedents, has

been falsely implicated in the case in hand. She further submits that the petitioner is ready to join investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency.”

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 28.08.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. She, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 28.08.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

November 30, 2023

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No