

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16005-2023

Date of Decision:27.07.2023

SARABJIT SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANOTHER

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Ms. Sukhan Rangi, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Rangi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel,
for the respondents- UOI.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to issue passport.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that an FIR No. 21 was registered against the petitioner in the year 1997. Prior to said FIR, FIR No.158 dated 25.05.1984 was registered against the petitioner. The police after completing investigation prepared cancellation report, however, it was not submitted in the Court. The petitioner filed CRM-M-27733-2023 before this Court, seeking quashing of FIR No.158 dated 25.05.1984. This Court vide order dated 30.05.2023 directed the Trial Court to take final decision on the cancellation report from its presentation. The police presented cancellation report which has been accepted by the Trial Court vide order dated 20.07.2023. The

petitioner has already been acquitted in FIR No. 21 of 1997.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that despite pendency of no FIR against the petitioner, the passport authority is not issuing passport.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents- UOI does not dispute the aforesaid facts and submits that competent authority would look into the grievance of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order within 8 weeks from today.

5. In view of statement of learned counsel for the respondents- UOI, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

27.07.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>