

233-a

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR-4774-2019

Decided on:-06.10.2023

Dina Nath

....Petitioner..

vs.

Aruna Sood

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Arnav Sood, Advocate for the respondent.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. By way of present revision petition, challenge has been laid to the judgments dated 22.05.2018 and 19.04.2019 passed by the Courts below, whereby, eviction petition filed at the instance of respondent-landlord, has been allowed against the petitioner-tenant.

2. No one has chosen to appear on behalf of the petitioner-tenant.

3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondent-landlord submits that the demised premises already stands vacated and possession thereof has already been handed over to the respondent-landlord.

4. In view of the above, it appears that the petitioner-tenant is not interested in pursuing the present revision petition, resultantly, the same is dismissed for non-prosecution. However, the petitioner-tenant shall be at liberty to approach this Court again, in case, any cause survives in his favour.

06.10.2023

sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/ No