

Insaf Ali and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Mohd. Aslam, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. S.K. Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.3-PCI
Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate for respondents No. 4 and 5.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. This petition was filed seeking directions to the respondents No. 3 and 4 to enter the names of the petitioners in the register of Haryana State Pharmacy Council and to issue the licence of pharmacy to the petitioners.
2. Learned counsel for respondents No. 4 and 5 has filed reply in Court today and same is taken on record, copy supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the licences were issued to petitioners No. 1 to 3, 5 and 8. He further on instructions submits that the pending applications of the remaining petitioners shall be considered and decision would be taken within four months from today.
4. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for respondents No. 4 and 5, the petition is disposed of.
5. Needless to say that petitioners would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law if aggrieved of the decision taken by the respondents.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

20th April, 2023

Parveen Sharma

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |