

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-3978-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-15.11.2023

CHANCHAL SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

SIKANDER SINGH AND ORS.

... Respondent(s)

-. -

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

-. -

Present:- Mr. Gagandeep Singh Sirphikhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Singla, Advocate with
Mr. Kamaldeep Singh Rishi, Advocate
for respondent No.32.

-. -

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. The present revision petition has been filed by the petitioner/defendant No.1 seeking setting aside of order dated 9.5.2022 (Annexure P-7), passed by the Court of Civil Judge (Junior Division), Batala in civil suit No.301 of 2017 titled as Sikander Singh vs. Chanchal Singh vide which the application filed by the petitioner under Section 151 CPC for consolidation of the said suit with civil suit No.224 of 2016 titled as Sarabjit Kaur vs. Sikander Singh has been dismissed.

2. At the very outset, the counsel for the petitioner admitted that civil suit titled Sikander Singh vs. Chanchal Singh is at the stage of plaintiff's evidence and that last opportunity has been given to the plaintiffs to conclude their evidence on 21.11.2023, whereas the civil suit titled Sarabjit Kaur vs. Sikander Singh is now fixed for final arguments for 21.11.2023 and further conceded that in the given circumstances, there is no possibility of consolidation of the aforesaid suits at this stage. The counsel for the petitioner further submits that he will be satisfied if direction is given to the learned trial Court to decide both the civil suit simultaneously in order to avoid passing of contradictory judgments.
3. The aforesaid prayer is not opposed by counsel for respondent No.32.
4. From the perusal of complaints of both the civil suits, it is evident that the suit land involved in the civil suit titled as Sarabjit Kaur vs. Sikander Singh is also covered in a civil suit titled Sikander Singh vs. Chanchal Singh and the main contesting parties are also same in both the civil suits. So it is advisable to decide both the said civil suits simultaneously in order to avoid the possibility of pronouncement of contradictory judgments in the said civil suits.
5. In the light of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is hereby disposed of with direction to the learned trial Court to decide both the aforesaid civil suits simultaneously and in order to do so the trial Court should expedite the proceedings in civil suit titled as Sikander Singh vs. Chanchal Singh by giving short adjournments, in order to conclude the recording of

evidence in an expeditious manner by avoiding grant of unnecessary adjournments.

7. The present revision petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

15.11.2023
Gaurav Sorot

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No