

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

DECIDED ON: 3rd February, 2023

(1) CR No. 2253 of 2021 (O&M)

Gurtej Singh

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

Kotak Mahindra Bank and another

.....RESPONDENTS

(2) CR No. 2256 of 2021

Gurtej Singh

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

Kotak Mahindra Bank and another

.....RESPONDENTS

(3) CR No. 2261 of 2021

Gurtej Singh

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

Kotak Mahindra Bank and others

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. A.K. Khunger, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Aman Bansal, Advocate and
Mr. J.S. Jhadhav, Advocate for respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

These three civil revisions are filed aggrieved of order passed by Civil Judge, (Senior Division), Ludhiana appointing receiver with regard to vehicle involved therein.

The primary ground of challenge is that Civil Judge (Senior Division), Ludhiana had no jurisdiction to entertain the petition under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short 'the Act') and the jurisdiction is with the District Judge.

Learned counsel for the parties are ad-idem that in petitions i.e. CR-2256 of 2021 and 2261 of 2021, the petitions have been transferred to Additional District Judge, Ludhiana.

It is further submitted that in third petition i.e. CR-2253 of 2021 the petition be ordered to be transferred to Additional District Judge, Ludhiana.

In view of above, CR-2256 of 2021 and 2261 of 2021 are disposed of as infructuous.

In CR-2253 of 2021 Civil Judge, (Sr. Division) Ludhiana is directed to transfer petition under Section 9 of the Act to the Additional District Judge, Ludhiana.

The petition is disposed of.

Since the main cases have been disposed of, pending application, if any is rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

3rd February, 2023

reema

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>