

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(120)

CWP No. 16021 of 2023

Date of Decision : 27.07.2023

Bimla Kumari

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Parveen Kumar Rohilla, Advocate with
Ms. Punam Rohilla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, respondent No. 4 had filed a wrong affidavit at the time of selection so as to get 05 marks by stating that no one from the family of respondent No. 4 was employed with the Government of Haryana, whereas as per the factual aspect, which has emerged, is that father of respondent No. 4 is a retired Government employee from the Government of Haryana, hence, the employment has been obtained by respondent No. 4 by misleading the department.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the authorities concerned in the representation dated 19.06.2023 (Annexure P-15) so as to take appropriate action but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to

respondent No. 3 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 19.06.2023 (Annexure P-15) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

5. In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the representation, respondent No. 3 is directed to decide the representation dated 19.06.2023 (Annexure P-15), by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

6. It may be recorded here that before passing the appropriate speaking order, respondent No. 4 be also given appropriate hearing and a speaking order be passed after taking into consideration any defence submitted by respondent No. 4. In case, petitioner is found entitled for any benefit after the said decision, the same will be extended to the petitioner within a further period of four weeks.

7. Present writ petition stands disposed of.

July 27, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? *Yes*

Whether reportable? *No*