

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120

CRM-M-38384-2022
Date of decision: 16.11.2023

RASHPAL SINGH AND ORS

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Ashish Pandey, Advocate for
Ms. Sushma Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sourabh Bedi, Advocate for respondent No.2.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

Mr. Ashish Pandey, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner, though, an adjournment slip has been circulated. However, considering the fact that the matter is a trivial one and can be disposed of, this matter is taken up for its final disposal.

Therefore, the instant petition, seeking quashing of FIR No.100 dated 11.08.2018, under Sections 323, 341, 148 and 149 IPC has been sought as well as for quashing the impugned orders dated 17.09.2019 and 14.01.2021 (Annexures P7 and P-8), passed by the learned Illaqa Magistrate concerned. The learned Illaqa Magistrate concerned through the impugned orders did not accept the cancellation report and directed the concerned SHO to conduct further investigation. This caused grievance to the petitioners, leading them to file the

instant petition.

Today, learned State counsel has caused appearance on behalf of respondent No.1-State, and on instructions from ASI Dwarka Das, submits that after conducting further investigation, the cancellation report has already been submitted before the learned Illaqa Magistrate concerned, which is pending consideration.

In view of the above, the asked for relief has become infructuous as on date. The cancellation report which is filed by the investigating agency is under consideration before the learned Illaqa Magistrate concerned.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

16.11.2023
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No