

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.246+251

**Date of Decision : July 03, 2023
CRM-M-41951-2021**

Kulwant @ Kanta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CRM-M-23146-2023

Pawan Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Sumit S. Bairagi, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-41951-2021).

Mr. Nirmal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-23146-2023).

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rahul Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Naveen Kashyap, Advocate,
for respondent No.2 (in CRM-M-41951-2021).

Mr. Rajesh Duhan, Advocate for
Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate,
for respondent No.2 (in CRM-M-23146-2023).

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Reply by way of affidavit of Sh. Rajat Gulia, HPS, DSP,
Pehowa has been filed in Court (in CRM-M-41951-2021). The same is
taken on record.

The petitioners seek for quashing of FIR No.237, dated

IPC registered at Police Station Ismailabad, District Kurukshetra and the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 20.03.2021 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

Vide orders dated 10.04.2023 and 09.05.2023 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 01.05.2023 and 31.05.2023 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Reports have since been received from learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

The trial Court has annexed the copy of statements of the parties, alongwith its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the reports of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra, and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petitions are

A copy of this order be placed on the file of another connected case.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Ankur

Yes/No

Yes/No