

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CWP-27423-2015

Date of Decision :-02.11.2023

Harita Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Shobit Phutela, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sourabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Bhumika Khatri, Advocate for

Mr. Sunil Kumar Dhanda, Advocate for respondent No.5.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is qua the selection process to the post of Multipurpose Health Worker (female).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the selection of the private respondents was bad in law and the same is under cloud keeping in view the enquiry, which has been conducted by the respondents themselves.

3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents submits that keeping in view certain facts, which had come to the knowledge of the appointing authority, action was taken against the selected candidates and

their services were terminated, which action of the respondents was made subject matter of CWP No.29938-2017 titled as *Reena and others vs. Mission Director, National Health Mission, Panchkula and others*, CWP-1574-2018 titled as *Poonam Devi vs. Mission Director, NRHM and others* and CWP-1055-2018 titled as *Naveen Rani vs. Mission Director, National Health Mission, Panchkula and others* by the selected candidates whose services were terminated, which writ petitions have been decided by this Court vide order dated 13.09.2023 according to which, the impugned order terminating the services of the selected candidates was set aside with liberty to the respondents to pass a fresh order in accordance with law.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the matter qua the selection has been remanded back to the authorities concerned by this Court, the claim of the petitioner i.e. irregularities in the selection process may kindly be allowed to be brought on record by the petitioner so that a fresh appropriate order can be passed by the authorities concerned while ascertaining whether the selection made by the respondents for the post in question is valid or not.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any representation is filed by the petitioner with the authorities concerned, the same will be considered in accordance with law and an appropriate order will be passed while considering the claim of the selected candidates.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

8.

It may be noticed that in case a grievance is raised by the

petitioner qua the selection of the private respondents and the same is taken into consideration by the respondents, the same be forwarded to the selected candidates also for their comments so that an appropriate order can be passed.

November 02, 2023
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*