

109

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-15939-2023

Date of Decision:27.07.2023

SHIVALI CHATLEY

..... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Sumeet Goel, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Arpandeeep Narula, Advocate,
Mr. Shivam Kaushik, Advocate,
Mr. Ankur Sharma, Advocate,
Mr. Rajat Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Dinesh Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents No.1 and 2 to take further action with respect to impounding of passport of respondent No.3.

2. The case of the petitioner is that respondents No.1 and 2 have impounded passport of respondent No.3, however, they are not taking further action.

3. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner at the outset submits that petitioner has received email from respondent disclosing that respondent No.3 had filed appeal against order of impounding passport which stands allowed.

4. In view of receipt of email from respondents No.1 and 2, the present petition has rendered infructuous.
5. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.
6. The petitioner is at liberty to move an appropriate application if it is found that contents of the email are incorrect.
7. The petitioner with respect to orders of appellate authority, is at liberty to avail remedies as permissible by law.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

27.07.2023

Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>