

227

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCF No.2459 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 13.01.2023

Ravi Kumar Soi

....Petitioner

Versus

Daman Singh

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Natasha Munjal, Advocate
for the respondent.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 24.09.2020 vide which the operation of an order dated 10.09.2020 was stayed.

A perusal of the aforesaid order would show that under Clause 16, it was notified to the petitioner that the respondent is contemplating to take action as per Clause 29 of the terms and conditions of the tender documents which gives power for termination of the contract as well as blacklisting and Clause 17 is with regard to imposition of the penalty.

Counsel for the respondent, on the basis of the affidavit submits that subsequently when the order of termination of contract was passed, the petitioner has filed an independent writ petition i.e. CWP No.1777 of 2020 and even subsequently, when another order was passed with regard to blacklisting of the petitioner, the petitioner filed writ petition i.e. CWP No.21804 of 2020.

It is admitted case of the parties that all the three writ petitions including the present petition qua which the violation is alleged were disposed of on 28.04.2022 observing that the matter will be referred to the Arbitrator and the petitions are disposed of.

In view of the above, the interim order merges in the final order, therefore, no willful disobedience of the order is made out.

Dismissed.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

13.01.2023
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No