

CWP No. 27280 of 2015

2023:PHHC:154387

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(207)

CWP No. 27280 of 2015

Date of Decision : 04.12.2023

Santosh Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present case, the order impugned is with regard to the non-grant of compassionate appointment after the death of the husband of the petitioner, namely, Mahavir Parshad, who had died on 02.05.1999. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has already crossed the age of 58 years, which is the age of retirement. Learned counsel further submits that in an earlier round of litigation, son of the petitioner was also made entitled to seek compassionate appointment in case, the petitioner is not eligible, hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner or her son to raise the claim before the respondents for the grant of compassionate appointment to her son, who is fully eligible.

2. Learned State counsel submits that in case, any claim is raised by the petitioner, the same will be examined in accordance with law and an appropriate order will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such claim.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the said statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to raise the claim for compassionate appointment to her son.

4. Ordered accordingly.

December 04th, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No