

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(219)

CWP-26159-2016

Date of Decision : August 22, 2023

Jagdish Kumar**.. Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present petition, the petitioner was reverted from the post of Kanungo to that of Patwari vide order dated 12.09.2016 (Annexure P-12) which order was stayed by this Court while issuing notice of motion.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already retired from service after getting promotion even from the post of Kanungo to that of Naib Tehsildar, hence, the present petition has been rendered infructuous keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has already been further promoted from the post of Kanungo and he has retired.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that keeping in view the fact that the operation of the impugned order was stayed and petitioner continued to work on the post of Kanungo and he was also further

promoted to the post of Naib Tehsildar before his retirement, the impugned order will not be given effect to any further.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further as the same has been rendered infructuous but the liberty be given that in case any of the benefit is yet to be paid by the Department, the petitioner can approach the Department by filing appropriate representation.

5. Ordered accordingly.

August 22, 2023

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No