

128

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-15790-2023

Date of Decision: 25.07.2023

Satnam Singh

. . . . Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present Mr. J.S. Thind, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Paramjit Batta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had preferred an appeal against the punishment order which is pending before the IG Prisons, Punjab since 05.04.2022.
2. Notice of motion.
3. Mr. Paramjit Batta, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.
4. As per Punjab Civil Services (Punishment and Appeal) Rules, 1970, appeals are required to be decided in accordance with the procedure laid down therein. However, the same ought to be decided in a particular period.
5. This Court deems it appropriate to direct the appellate authority to decide the appeal within a period of 3 months after giving a personal hearing to the petitioner.

- 6. Writ Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.
- 7. Learned counsel appearing for the State shall get the order complied with.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

July 25, 2023

Mohit goyal

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |