

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(103)

CWP-20794-2021

Decided on : 20.03.2023

Jaswant Singh Kull

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Punjab & Sind Bank & another

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN**

Present: Mr.Lupil Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner (s).

Mr.Neeraj Madaan, Advocate, for respondent-Bank.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present writ petition, filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is to consider the petitioner's application dated 28.07.2021 (Annexure P-6) for One Time Settlement Scheme.

In the reply filed by the respondent-Bank, apart from taking the preliminary objections, it has been averred that the present writ petition is not maintainable in view of the alternate remedy available. It has also been averred that the OTS case was rejected on 02.12.2021 (Annexure R-1) wherein it has been averred that the petitioner's loan account was sub-standard account category and the same does not fall under the Bank's OTS policy and the petitioner is intentionally not paying the outstanding loan amount despite having sufficient resources to pay the same.

(103) CWP-20794-2021 -2-

The said order is not subject matter of challenge in the present writ petition. However, counsel for the respondent-Bank submits that in the meantime, the amount has also been received from the petitioner and the account stands closed on 30.03.2022.

Keeping in view the above, we are of the considered opinion that the writ petition has been rendered infructuous in view of the statement made by counsel for the respondent-Bank and the same is disposed of, as such.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

20.03.2023
sailesh

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	✓Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	✓No