

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

CWP-16089-2023

Date of Decision : 28.07.2023

Shivani

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Ms.Kashish Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Puneeta Sethi, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 6.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is seeking directions to the respondents to expeditiously consider and decide his application seeking compassionate appointment.

Ms. Puneeta Sethi, Advocate for respondent No.1 and Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 6, who on advance notice are present in Court, submit that the petitioner has remedy to approach Central Administrative Tribunal (for short, 'CAT'), thus, the petitioner deserves to be relegated to CAT.

On being confronted with aforesaid fact, Ms.Kashish Garg, Advocate seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to

approach CAT.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

It is made clear that if it is found that CAT has no jurisdiction, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an appropriate application.

28.07.2023
anju

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>