

CWP No. 20222 of 2021

2023:PHHC:129303

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(261)

CWP No. 20222 of 2021

Date of Decision : 05.10.2023

Anil Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ajay Vijarana, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ravi Dutt Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the claim of the petitioners is that they are not being given the overtime allowance though, they are entitled for the same keeping in view the settled principle of law settled by this Court while passing order in CWP No. 3005 of 2018 titled as ***Brij Bhushan and others Vs. State of Haryana and others***, decided on 01.02.2019.

On being asked, as to on which date, the petitioners had rendered overtime service so as to get the said allowance, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no detail has been mentioned in the petition but the same can be furnished to the respondents by filing an appropriate representation.

Learned State counsel submits that in case, any representation is received from the petitioners giving the details of the period for which the petitioners had discharged the over time duties, the same will be looked into and verified from the record and in case, the said claim is found to be genuine, appropriate payment will be made within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation, if not already made.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the said statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to file appropriate representation before the authorities concerned.

Ordered accordingly.

October 05, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No