

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35619-2023

Date of Decision: 25.07.2023

PARGAT SINGH

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: Mr. Bhriugu Dutt Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner and respondent No.5 are real brothers and the property left by their father was duly partitioned. He further submits that respondent No.5 through his attorney/respondent No.6 is threatening and harassing the petitioner with the intent to get the property forcibly, owned and possessed by the petitioner, and, in this regard suit has also been filed by the wife of the petitioner which is pending in the Civil Court at Jalandhar. He further submits that respondent Nos. 5 & 6 with the help of some police officials are also threatening the petitioner in this regard and the representation dated 17.07.2023 (Annexure P-3) was moved to respondent No.2/Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, but till date no action has been taken.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab who is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondent/State.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, without commenting on the merits of the petition, the petition is hereby disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-3) expeditiously in accordance with law. The decision so taken be intimated to the petitioner as well.

5. It is however, made clear that any observation made above shall not affect the merits of the case nor the same will influence the concerned authority in deciding the representation in accordance with law.

(SANJIV BERRY)
(JUDGE)

25.07.2023

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No