

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH

234

CWP-18709-2020  
Date of Decision: 31.07.2023

KAMLESH KUMARI

... Petitioner

VERSUS

DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM & ORS.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Ashish Chopra, Sr. Advocate for  
Ms. Rupa Pathania, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate and  
Mr. Divyansh Shukla, Advocate  
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Saurav Savara, Advocate and  
Mr. Sandeep K. Sharma, Advocate  
for respondent No.3.

\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)**

Challenge in the present petition is to the Permanent Disconnection Order dated 26.04.2019 (Annexure P-10) issued by respondent No.2- Sub Divisional Officer (Op.) Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, Sub Division Pali Ballabgarh, Sohna Road, Near Gaunchhi, District Faridabad, Haryana being contrary to the provisions of The Electricity Act, 2003.

Learned Sr. Counsel for the petitioner contends that the electricity connection in favour of the petitioner was disconnected consequent to an objection received from respondent No.3- Mr. Shivi Sheoran son of Balbir Singh disputing the title/ownership of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondents No.1 and 2 contends that they had received the complaint/objection dated 22.03.2019 from respondent No.3 and thereafter, they disconnected the electric supply to the premises in question vide order dated 26.04.2019.

It is contended by the petitioner that the said dispute has already been resolved between the petitioner and respondent No.3 and the said respondent No.3 has no objection to the release/restoration of the electric connection in the name of the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Savara, Advocate appearing on behalf of respondent No.3 does not dispute the aforesaid statement made by the petitioner and affirms the settlement arrived at between the petitioner and respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for the respondents-Distribution Licensee submits that in view of the aforesaid settlement between the petitioner and respondent No.3, the electricity connection shall be restored in accordance with law subject to the petitioner paying the applicable statutory dues as are applicable for restoration of the electricity connection.

Counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the same.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed in above terms. However, both the parties shall remain bound by the statements made on their behalf by their respective counsel hereinabove.

**JULY 31, 2023**

*rajender*

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No

*Whether reportable* : Yes/No