

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(306)

CWP-22007-2017

Date of decision: - 09.03.2023

**Gram Panchayat village Ariyanwala, Block Chhachhrauli, District
Yamunanagar**

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arvind Singh, Advocate
for Mr. S.S. Dinarpur, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned action of respondents whereby the sanctioned amount for Gram Panchayat had been transferred in the account of Executive Engineer, with a further direction to the respondents to re-deposit the amount credited in the account of the Executive Engineer which has been sanctioned to Gram Panchayat for its development through elected Panchayat.

Learned State counsel has pointed out that in the present case, a new policy has been framed by the State of Haryana and thus, the

present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

No one had appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the above-said fact.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, however, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for restoration of the present writ petition or to file a fresh petition in case any cause survives.

March 09, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No