

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35468 of 2023

Date of decision: 29th September, 2023

Rainu

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. C. S. Rana, Advocate with
Ms. Sonia Parmar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Navreet Kaur Barnala, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. V. K. Pujara, Advocate for the complainant.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. The present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 31 dated 15.4.2023, under Sections 306 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Nurmahal, District Jalandhar Rural.
2. The brief facts are that the FIR was registered on the statement of Joginder Pal. He alleged that his son-Avtar Chand was married to Rainu (petitioner). He committed suicide as his wife was having illicit relations with Amritpal Singh.
3. Learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioner is in custody since 16.4.2023; the investigation is complete; there was no suicide note left by the deceased and no case is made out under Section 306 IPC.
4. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail, however fairly submits that the investigation is complete and challan has been presented.
5. Learned counsel for the complainant though not impleaded as party submits that the the complainant has no objection if bail is granted to the petitioner.
6. Without commenting on the merits of the case and considering that as per the case set up the complainant was not residing with the

deceased, there is no suicide note, though investigation is complete conclusion of trial is likely to take time, the petitioner is granted bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

7. The petition is allowed.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

29th September, 2023
mk

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned

:

Yes / No
2. Whether reportable

:

Yes / No