

123

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16255-2023

Date of decision: 31.07.2023

PSI Gurpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate and
Mr. Namit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant 5 additional marks as per weightage for no Government job in the family.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner in the present writ petition, the petitioner had given a representation dated 02.03.2022 (Annexure P-8) to respondent No.3 and he would be satisfied at this stage, in case, the said respondent No.3 is directed to consider the said representation dated 02.03.2022 (Annexure P-8) in a time bound manner, in accordance with law and in

case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then to grant necessary relief, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that the competent authority would consider the said representation dated 02.03.2022 (Annexure P-8) in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority to consider the representation dated 02.03.2022 (Annexure P-8), in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious then the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioner are not meritorious then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority would consider the case of the petitioner independently and in accordance with law.

31.07.2023*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:-****Yes/No****Whether reportable:-****Yes/No**