

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20135-2018

Date of decision : 23.08.2023

Jarnail Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Ms. Arshpreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun William, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 5.

Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate
for respondents No.6 to 10.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of directions to respondent No.4 to conclude the inquiry marked to him on 27.03.2017 by respondent No.2 regarding complaint/application dated 07.12.2016 (Annexure P-1) made by the petitioner as even after the lapse of about two years, inquiry was not concluded despite the fact that huge amount of public money was embezzled by the private respondents in connivance with each other.

Learned State counsel has drawn the attention of this Court to the reply filed. He submits that the inquiry was conducted by Sub Divisional Officer, Panchayati Raj, Ferozepur who submitted his report along with letter dated 22.10.2019. He further submits that in pursuance to the same, office of District Development and Panchayati Officer,

Ferozepur had issued a letter dated 07.01.2020 to the Director Rural Development and Panchayat-respondent No.2 to take action against the respondent No.6 for recovery of the assessed amount. It is submitted that in view of the same, grievance of the petitioner is already redressed and further proceedings have already been initiated. In view of the same, present petition is rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for respondents No.6 to 10 submits that the amount as assessed i.e. Rs.36,217/- against respondents has already been deposited.

After hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is apparent that the inquiry as prayed for has already been concluded and further action has also been taken. In view of the same, present petition is disposed of as infructuous. However, petitioner is at liberty to pursue his remedies for the redressal of his further grievance if any, in accordance with law.

23.08.2023
m. sharma

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No