

**210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-29989-2023 in/and
CRM-M-35265-2023
Date of decision: 06.11.2023**

Harjit SinghPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Harish Bhatti, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Sahil Pwar, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 122 dated 14.06.2023 under Sections 323, 341, 295, 506, 427, 148, 149 IPC registered at Police Station Samrala, District Khanna.

2. On the last date of hearing/on 24.07.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia contends that except for Section 295 IPC, all other offences are bailable in nature. It has also been submitted that the injury attributed to the petitioner is a simple injury just beneath the eye”

3. Learned State counsel has not disputed the submissions made by the counsel opposite qua the roles attributed to the petitioners and also the nature of injuries attributed to them.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 24.07.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.
5. Learned State counsel does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.
6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 24.07.2023, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

06.11.2023
manoj

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No