

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35649-2023

Date of Decision: 26.07.2023

Farhan Ahmed

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Mohd. Uzair, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of direction to the respondents to initiate proper and further investigation and arrest the culprits in the FIR No.316 dated 23.05.2022, under Sections 420, 120-B, 182, 199, 420, 467, 468 & 471 IPC, registered at Police Station Ambala Cantt.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present case, the FIR was lodged on 23.05.2022 which is more than 1 year ago under Sections 420, 120-B, 182, 199, 420, 467, 468 & 471 IPC but the police has not taken any action in the present case nor the accused persons were arrested, and therefore, necessary direction be issued to the police to investigate the case properly and also to arrest the accused persons. He further submitted that it is a case where the accused persons have fabricated the Marriage Certificate and have defrauded the complainant.

3. At this stage, Mr. Naveen Kumar Sheoran, learned DAG,

Haryana has submitted on specific instructions that the police has thoroughly investigated the present case and thereafter, untraced report has been prepared and the necessary report will be filed before the learned appropriate Court very shortly.

4. In view of the aforesaid position whereby the learned State counsel has stated that the police has already investigated the case and they will be filing the appropriate report before the learned Magistrate, no action is required to be taken by this Court in the present case. Even otherwise also, the petitioner has alternate remedy available to him by moving an appropriate application before the Illaqa Magistrate in this regard and also for filing a criminal complaint if so advised or desired in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "*Sakiri Vasu Vs. State of U.P. and others*", 2008(1) RCR (Criminal) 392.

5. Consequently, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

26.07.2023

Bhumika

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable:	Yes/No