

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-45420-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.3.2023

Lovely and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Hakam Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Kashmir Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.90 dated 6.6.2016 registered under Sections 452, 448, 506, 511 IPC at Police Station City Kotkapura, District Faridkot along with subsequent proceedings judgment and order dated 21.9.2019 passed by Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Faridkot, on the basis of compromise.

The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Simranjeet Kaur against the petitioners.

On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court through his counsel and pleaded that he has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid

compromise.

In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Sessions Judge, Faridkot along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

A Division Bench of this Court in **Sube Singh and another v. State of Haryana and another**, 2013(4) RCR(Criminal) 102 allowed the compromise quashing petition and quashed the FIR as well as all the subsequent proceedings thereof including judgment and order passed by the Court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate whereby the accused persons were convicted and sentenced to imprisonment and further held that the appeal preferred by the accused persons against the aforesaid judgment and order has been rendered infructuous.

Recently the Hon'ble Apex Court in Criminal Appeal No.1393 of 2011 titled as **Ramawatar v. State of Madhya Pradesh** decided on 25.10.2021 quashed all the proceedings including judgment and order of conviction and sentence passed by the trial Court against which the appeal was pending in the High Court, on the basis of the compromise effected between the parties.

In the case in hand, the parties have effected compromise. In view of the compromise, respondent No.2 does not want to take any further action against the petitioner. I am of the view that the aforesaid compromise is in the welfare and interest of the parties and will enable the parties to live in peace and enjoy their life in a dignified manner. So no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the proceedings pending in the Appellate Court.

For the reasons aforesaid and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.90 dated 6.6.2016 registered under Sections 452, 448, 506, 511 IPC at Police Station City Kotkapura, District Faridkot and all the subsequent proceedings i.e. judgment and order dated 21.9.2019 passed by Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Faridkot, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

Resultantly, the appeal preferred by the petitioner against the aforesaid judgment and order dated 21.9.2019 (Annexure P-2) would be rendered infructuous.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

March 20, 2023

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No