

257

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-18626-2020

Date of decision : 08.02.2023

Anil Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana, for respondent Nos.1 to 7.

Mr. Surjit, Advocate for

Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for respondent Nos.8 and 9.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents to take necessary legal action against respondent Nos.8 and 9.

Learned State Counsel has pointed out that term of the Gram Panchayat, Sarpanch of which was Santosh, has elapsed and thus the present Civil Writ Petition has been rendered infructuous.

Today, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioners in order to rebut the abovesaid aspect and even on the last date of hearing, no one had appeared on behalf of the petitioners.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioners to file an application for restoration of the present Civil Writ Petition or to file a fresh petition, in case, any cause survives to them.

08.02.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No