

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206
CRWP-7924-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.08.2023

Sarabjit Kaur
..... Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab and others
..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate and
Ms. Rajwinder Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vinod Kanwal, Advocate for respondents No. 5 to 9.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or directions be issued to protect life and liberty of the petitioner and her three minor children at the hands of private respondents No. 5 to 9.

2. Counsel for the petitioner as well as counsel for the private respondents on instructions submits that the matter has been compromised between the parties. However, there are some small issues which are still pending.
3. In view of the above said statement, the petitioner as well as the private respondents to solve the remaining differences amicably by interacting through their respective counsel. For this purpose, Mr. Vinod Kanwal, Advocate for private respondents to visit the office of Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate and whenever he visits, he is also permitted to take his limited number of respondents to the chamber of Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate and such respondents are directed to behave with utmost respect.
4. Given above, no order is required to be passed in the present petition and the same is disposed of. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to come to this Court again, if need arises. All pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

07.08.2023
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No