

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-21355-2017 (O&M)
DECIDED ON:30.10.2023

DEEPAK SHARMAPETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANRRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Mohnish Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. AG. Haryana.

Mr. Chetan Mittal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Udit Garg, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 6.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 6 states that the charge-sheet dated 22.03.2017 (Annexure P-14) and the office order dated 22.03.2017 (Annexure P-15) have been recalled, without prejudice to the answering respondents, to initiate fresh inquiry by the competent officer in accordance with law, if so advised.

In the light of above, nothing survives in the instant petition.

Disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

However, the petitioner will be at liberty to challenge the subsequent action or any other plea by way of fresh petition thereafter.

30.10.2023
Meenu

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable Yes/No