

113

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

1)

CWP-25888-2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision:31.10.2023

SUKHPAL SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

....Respondents

2)

CWP-25269-2015 (O&M)

BALWANT SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

....Respondents

3)

CWP-25886-2015 (O&M)

MANDEEP SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

....Respondents

4)

CWP-15599-2016 (O&M)

LAKHAN ATWAL

.....Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

....Respondents

5)

CWP-25610-2015 (O&M)

RAMU

....Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

....Respondents

- 6)

CWP-14150-2016 (O&M)

NIRMAL SINGH

Versus

.....Petitioner

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

.....Respondents
- 7)

CWP-1661-2016 (O&M)

RITU

Versus

.....Petitioner

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

.....Respondents
- 8)

CWP-14189-2016 (O&M)

DHANPREET SINGH

Versus

.....Petitioner

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

.....Respondents
- 9)

CWP-25206-2015 (O&M)

MOHAN SINGH

Versus

.....Petitioner

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

....Respondents
- 10)

CWP-25240-2015 (O&M)

JAGWINDER SINGH

Versus

.....Petitioner

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents
- 11)

CWP-27074-2015 (O&M)

MOHAN LAL

Versus

.....Petitioner

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents
- 12)

CWP-25194-2015 (O&M)

SUNNY

Versus

.....Petitioner

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Jasbir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranjan Lohan, Advocate for the respondent-Bank.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. A bunch of petitions was dismissed vide order dated 10.03.2017. The petitioners preferred applications seeking modification of order dated 10.03.2017 to the extent of granting liberty to them to approach appropriate authority under Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The said applications came up for consideration before this Court and following order was passed:

“Mr. Bedi submits that qua challenge to the selection of regular sepoy in Bank of India the writ failed for the reason it has. That part is not re-agitated in these applications which is one for modification that the applicants who are 12 of the 32 original petitioners would be unable to challenge their oral termination after years of service with the Bank because of the dismissal of the petition. Thereby, they would lose their valuable rights under Sections 25-F & 25-G. The ground was taken in the petition with regard to rights under the Industrial Disputes Act but the petition was dismissed by reason of not taking leave to file fresh petition but the bar of Order 23 Rule 1 CPC fell upon the way of the applicants.

Mr. Bedi submits that in case, modification is not made to the order the applicants will be unable to agitate against their dismissals.

I do not feel that any modification is required but in case the applicant workers are aggrieved it is clarified that it will always be open them to claim their special rights under the Industrial Disputes Act, if advised and if it does not go behind the order dated 10.03.2017.

With these observations, the applications stand disposed of.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of connected cases.”

2. By common order dated 10.03.2017, 32 writ petitions were dismissed by this Court. 11 writ petitioners preferred intra court appeal and 13 writ petitioners preferred petition before Industrial Tribunal. 08 writ petitioners have neither filed LPA nor petition before Industrial Tribunal meaning thereby they have accepted order dated 10.03.2017 passed by this Court. A Division Bench of this Court has allowed LPA and remanded the matter back to Single Judge.
3. The present petition is listed alongwith petitions where LPA Bench has remanded the matter back to Single Judge.
4. The above noted petitioners have not assailed order dated 10.03.2017 passed by this Court, thus, no further order is warranted.
5. Photocopy of this order be placed on the connected case files.
6. Pending misc. application (s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

31.10.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No