

**208-1 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1.CWP-26464-2014 (O&M)

Jagvir Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..Respondents

2.CWP-1767-2015 (O&M)

Ankita

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..Respondents

Date of decision: 12.01.2023

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Sardavinder Goyal, Advocate for
petitioner no.1 in CWP-26464-2014 and
for the petitioner in CWP-1767-2015

Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate for petitioner no.2
in CWP-26464-2014

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

1. By this order, two Civil Writ Petitions i.e CWP-26464-2014
and CWP-1767-2015 shall stand disposed of.

2. In essence, the petitioners claim that the revised answer key
uploaded by the respondents is not correct.

3. Pursuant to the recruitment notice dated 18.10.2013,
applications were invited from the eligible candidates to fill up 461 posts

of Inspector (Group B) in the Department of Food, Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs, Punjab and a written examination was held by the Department. Initially, the proposed answer key was uploaded while granting liberty to the candidates to file objections, which were subsequently referred to an expert body. On the basis of the report of the expert body, the revised answer key was published.

4. In **Vikesh Kumar Gupta vs. State of Rajasthan (2021) 12 SCC 309** and **Ran Vijay Singh vs. State of Uttar Pradesh (2018) 2 SCC 357**, the Supreme Court has laid down that after the expert body has examined the objections raised by the candidates, the scope of judicial review in the absence of any patent error, does not exist.

5. Hence, no ground to issue the writ, as prayed for, is made out.

6. Dismissed.

7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

12.01.2023

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE