

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh
107**

**2023:PHHC:106120
CWP No. 15699 of 2023
Date of Decision: 17.08.2023**

Ekam Singh Dhillon

---Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

---Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Lakhwinder Singh Mann, Advocate
for the petitioner

Ms. Saigeet Srivastava, Advocate
for Union of India

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 25.07.2023, following order was passed by this
Court:-

“The petitioner through the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents No. 3 and 4 to issue passport of the petitioner.

The petitioner vide application dated 10.04.2023 has applied for passport. The present petition has been filed through mother because petitioner is less than two years old. The petitioner is in the custody of his mother and she, as required, has filed Annexure “C” with the application seeking passport.

Ms. Saigeeta Srivastva, Advocate for Union of India, who on advance notice is present in Court, seeks time to file status report.

Adjourned to 17.08.2023.”

2. Ms. Saigeeta Srivastava, learned counsel for Union of India submits that application of the petitioner has already been processed

and passport would be issued within four weeks from today provided no further deficiency is found.

3. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for Union of India, the petition stands disposed of. In case passport is not issued, the petitioner is at liberty to move an appropriate application.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE**

17.08.2023

paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No