

CRM-M-35053-2023
Date of Decision:27.07.2023

VS.

State of Punjab ...Respondent

Present : Mr. Ketan Chopra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, AAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. with a prayer to grant a regular bail to him in case FIR No.254 dated 10.10.2022 registered under Sections 379-B(2) and 34 of IPC (Section 379 and 411 IPC added later on), at Police Station Division No.5, Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case. He was arrested in the present case on 10.10.2022 and the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has been presented against him. He further contends that similarly placed co-accused, namely, Rahul Passi and Manpreet Kumar @ Kaka have already been granted the concession of bail by this Court vide Annexures P-5 and P-6, respectively. He further contends that the case of the petitioner is at par with his co-accused Rahul Passi and he deserves the concession of bail. Further, the conclusion of the trial will take quite a long time.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the grant of concession of bail to the present petitioner on the ground that there are serious allegations against the present petitioner.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

27.07.2023

hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No