

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

108

2023:PHHC:106089

CWP No. 15701 of 2023

Date of Decision: 17.08.2023

Subhash Chander

---Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

---Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Indresh Goel, Senior Panel Counsel
for Union of India

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 25.07.2023, following order was passed by this
Court:-

“The petitioner through the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to issue fresh passport with correct name and date of birth.

*Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that petitioner was issued passport on 30.06.2011 wherein there is typographical mistake in the name of the petitioner as well date of birth. The actual date of birth of petitioner is 31.12.1961 whereas in the passport it is mentioned as 03.12.1961. Similarly, alphabet 'e' has wrongly been added in the name of the petitioner (**Bhanote** instead of Bhanot”).*

Mr. Indresh Goel, Senior Panel Counsel for Union of India, who on advance notice is present in Court, seeks time to get instructions.

Adjourned to 17.08.2023.”

2. Mr. Indresh Goel, counsel for Union of India, at the outset, states that petitioner may be directed to file a fresh application with correct particulars and passport authority would look into grievance of the petitioner and do the needful within four weeks.

3. The petitioner expressed his consent with the arrangement offered by learned counsel for the Union of India

4. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for Union of India, the petition stands disposed of with liberty to petitioner to file fresh application.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

17.08.2023

paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No