

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

265

CRM-M-32009-2019

Date of Decision: 09.03.2023

Mubin

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Ms. Sunita Nambiar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajeev Anand, Standing counsel for CBI.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

On the last date of hearing, State counsel had informed this Court about filing of cancellation report, however the matter was adjourned for the petitioner to get instructions in this regard.

Counsel for the petitioner has no instructions in this regard.

Since the cancellation report has already been filed, the present petition seeking fair investigation, has rendered infructuous. However, it is clarified that before accepting such cancellation report, the concerned Magistrate shall give ample opportunity to raise all the points, to the complainant and other victims who fall within the definition of Section 2(wa) CrPC. It is further clarified that in case, the concerned Magistrate accepts the cancellation report, he shall pass a detailed and speaking order in this regard.

Petition is disposed of as having rendered infructuous, in the terms mentioned above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

09.03.2023

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/no
Yes/no