

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CWP-24204-2016

Date of decision: 20.01.2023

RAKESH KUMAR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Aseem Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.1-Union of India.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana
for respondents No.3 & 4.

Mr. Abhimanyu, Advocate
for the respondent No.5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Quo-Warranto for challenging the appointment of the respondent No.5 to the post of Lecturer, “Prasuti and Stri Rog”, without the requisite academic qualification in the said subject in Shri Krishna Government Ayurvedic College, Kurukshetra.

Counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No. 5 contends that the present petition raises a challenge to the appointment of the said respondent and that she has already superannuated since then and as such there is no further cause of action that survives.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Taking note of the aforesaid statement made by the

counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No. 5, while keeping the question of law raised open, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to seek the revival of the present petition in case any grievance still subsists.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

JANUARY 20, 2023

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No