

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

**CRM-M No. 37060 of 2022
Date of Decision : 5.1.2023**

Rajan @ Thigi @ Anil Kumar

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Ramesh Chand Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner
Mr. Kunal Vinayak, AAG, Punjab
Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate, for the complainant

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA J. (ORAL):

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the order of this Court dated 22.8.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, being instructed by ASI Rajwinder Singh, does not dispute the fact of joining investigation by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the complainant contends that the petitioner has been threatening the complainant and a representation in that regard has been submitted to the SSP, Kapurthala on 14.12.2022.

At this stage, there is nothing on record which could establish violation of any of the condition for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present petition is allowed. Interim order dated 22.8.2022, passed by this Court, is made absolute.

**(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE**

5.1.2023

Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No