

2023:PHHC:158835-DB

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-15668-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.12.2023

Aryan Goyal and anotherPetitioners

Versus

Estate Officer, Union Territory, Chandigarh and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Abhinav Narang, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Parminder Singh Kanwar, Additional Standing Counsel,
for the respondent-U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Yogesh Putney, Senior Standing Counsel
for the respondent-Income Tax Department.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that as the necessary sanction/approval to transfer the ownership of the property in question in favour of the petitioners has since been granted by the competent authority, vide communication dated December 08, 2023, nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be disposed of, as such.

In terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous. And, a copy of the communication dated December 08, 2023 (*ibid*), shared by the learned counsel for respondent No.1, is taken on record as ‘Mark X’.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

12.12.2023
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
---------------------------	--------

Whether reportable	Yes/No
--------------------	--------