

**206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18765-2018

Date of Decision: 8th February, 2023

Jagroop Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Ajay Kamboj, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sudhir Nar, Senior Panel Advocate counsel
for Union of India.
Mr. Aseem Monga, Advocate for
Mr. Athar Ahmed, Advocate for respondent No.3.
Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate for respondent No.4.
Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition is filed seeking directions to respondent No.4 i.e. Punjab Pharmacy Council, Chandigarh to issue Pharmacist licence to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 4 submits that it is wrongly pleaded in the petition that the petitioner applied for the licence in the year 2016. Submission is that petitioner applied for licence online on 28th June, 2022 and deposited the fee.

3. Learned State counsel has produced the letter dated 5th July, 2022, whereby the petitioner has sought refund of fee deposited along with the application. The contention is that as on date with the refund of fee, no application is pending.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that another application was filed.

There is no pleading in the writ petition that any application

was filed after 5th July, 2022. In view of the above, no further directions are called for, the petition is dismissed.

6. Needless to say that petitioner would be at liberty to pursue the pending application, if any.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

8th February, 2023
Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No