

101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7089-2023

Date of decision:21.07.2023

AMIT BHARADWAJ

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present: Mr. Jaskirat Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, Sr. DAG, Punjab

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has moved an application seeking grant of parole under the Section 3(1)(C) of the Punjab Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1962. Though, the present petitioner is lodged in New District Jail, Nabha, Punjab, yet the application, if any, as become moved for the relevant purpose, was to be moved through the Superintendent of the said Jail, to the Competent Authority located within the jurisdiction of the State of Punjab.

2. However, it appears that for antecedental verification of the petitioner being involved in heinous offences other than the one in respect whereof he is incarcerated in the New District Jail, Nabha, rather a report was asked for, and, has been obtained, and, as becomes comprised in Annexure P-1, whereby there is a recommendation against the grant of facility of parole to the petitioner. However, the said Annexure P-1 is only a recommendation against the grant of parole to the petitioner, but yet there is no order passed by the

Competent Authority, located within the jurisdiction of the State of Punjab whether thereby the said recommendation has been accepted or rejected.

3. Therefore, as prayed for by the learned counsel for the petitioner, he is permitted to withdraw the present petition but with liberty to file a fresh petition appending therewith the order of rejection, as made by the Competent Authority, as, located within the State of Punjab.

4. Disposed of accordingly.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

21.07.2023

Ithlesh

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No