

2023:PHHC:129060

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

CRM-M-34987-2023

Date of decision : 04.10.2023

Parveen Rani**..... Petitioner****versus****State of Punjab****..... Respondent****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present: Mr. Mohit Sadana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. While issuing notice of motion on 21.07.2023, following order was passed:-

“Apprehending her arrest in FIR No.133 dated 10.06.2023, registered under Sections 452/323/427/506/34 IPC at Police Station City Sunam, District Sangrur petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the present FIR is counter blast of FIR No.0005 dated 29.06.2023 registered on the complaint made by the petitioner against Gaganpreet Singh who was allegedly in relationship with the petitioner and the complainant in FIR No.0133 dated 10.06.2023 happens to be none else but the legally wedded wife of Gaganpreet Singh.

Notice of motion for 04.10.2023.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab appears and accepts

2023:PHHC:129060

notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Mr. Simmy Singla, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of the complainant and has emphatically opposed the pre-arrest bail of the petitioner.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/Investigating Officer. As and when called, the petitioner shall join the investigation. She shall abide by the conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.”

2. Today, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Darshan Singh, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 21.07.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of above, the interim order dated 21.07.2023 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

4. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency, to investigate into the charges against the petitioner.

6. The petitioner shall be deemed to be in custody for the purpose of Section 27 of the Evidence Act, 1872 in regard to a discovery of facts made in pursuance of information supplied by the

2023:PHHC:129060

petitioner in case the occasion arises.

7. It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move this court for a direction under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C. to arrest the accused, in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.
8. Needless to say that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
9. Disposed off accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

04.10.2023

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No