

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(230-A)

CWP No. 22668 of 2020

Date of Decision : 18.08.2023

Rakesh Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

Shri Mata Mansa Devi Shrine Board, Panchkula

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Raman B. Garg, Advocate with
Mr. Mayank Garg, Advocate and
Mr. Chirag Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate with
Ms. Suman Rani, Advocate for the respondent.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the Instructions of the Government of Haryana for regularization of the services of the employees issued in the year 2014 has already been set-aside by the Division Bench of this Court while passing order in ***CWP No.17206 of 2014 titled as Yogesh Tyagi and another vs. State of Haryana and others***, on 31.05.2018 but as the matter is pending consideration in the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India qua the said order, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having

been not pressed any further, at this stage, with liberty to the petitioner either to revive the present petition or to file a fresh petition in case, any right accrues after the judgment/decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

Ordered accordingly.

August 18, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No