

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CWP-15164-2023

Date of Decision : 18.07.2023

BALBIR

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present : Mr. Sansar Kundu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. Though an order of eviction (Annexure P-2), thus has been passed by the competent statutory authority, and, though thereafter a notice under Section 24(1) of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 (Annexure P-1), has been issued against the present petitioner.

2. Thus, though in the wake of the above, the verdict as relied upon by the learned counsel for the petitioner, is not applicable to the facts of the present case. Nonetheless, in the larger interest of justice, since it is stated at the bar by the learned counsel for the petitioner, that a review application, is pending for adjudication, before the learned Revisional Court concerned. Therefore, till the Revisional Court concerned, proceeds to make an order on the apposite review petition, thereupto, the operation

3. The learned Revisional Court is directed to within three months from today make a lawful speaking decision on the revision application/petition as filed before him by the present petitioner.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

18.07.2023
kavneet singh

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No