

2023:PHHC:095168

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(216)

CWP No. 18465 of 2018
Date of Decision : 26.07.2023

Umed Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.K. Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the challenge is to the order dated 18.06.2018 (Annexure P-10) passed by the Director General, State Transport, Haryana.

Learned State counsel submits that the present order is an appealable order, hence, writ petition should not be entertained.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has no objection to avail the remedy of the appeal subject to the condition that the same should not be dismissed on the ground of delay.

In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction that in case, any appeal is preferred within a period of 30 days of the passing of this order, the same will be treated within limitation and will be decided on merits.

July 26, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No