

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213

CWP-23656-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.08.2023

MR. CHANDER SHEKHAR AND OTHERSPetitioners

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Praveen Chander Goyal, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Sylvester, Advocate for
Mr. Alok Mittal, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Mr. Vivek Suri, Advocate
for respondents No.6 to 8.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present petition is to the affiliation/recognition granted to the Field Hockey Chandigarh-respondent No.8 by the Chandigarh Olympic Association allegedly in violation of terms of charter of Chandigarh Olympic Association. A further direction was sought that petitioner be permitted to cast vote in the election being a genuine Hockey unit of the Chandigarh Olympic Association and duly recognized by the Hockey India and by the Chandigarh Olympic Association.

It is apparent from a perusal of the order sheets that on both the last occasions, counsel for the petitioner had been seeking

time. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner today as well.

Counsel for the respondent No.7 has pointed out that at the time when notice of motion was issued, the prime grievance of the petitioner was only with respect to elections of the Executive Committee of the Chandigarh Olympic Association which was scheduled to be held on 02.11.2016. It is contended that the term of the said elected body has already come to an end and the issues involved in the present writ petition would be merely academic and that so far as the prime grievance of the petitioner is concerned, the same has already been rendered infructuous.

It is also noticed that the case was taken up on numerous dates on 27.04.2017 when hearing was adjourned on request of the petitioner. Thereafter on 03.10.2017, it was again adjourned on request of the parties. On 06.12.2017, the petitioner was stated to be not available and adjournment was prayed for which was granted. Matter was again adjourned on the joint request of the parties. On the next date of hearing i.e. on 07.12.2018, a written request for adjournment has been circulated by the petitioner whereupon the matter was adjourned. There have been repeated instances of adjournment on request of the petitioner. The matter in question relates to the year 2016. It seems that the petitioner is no more interested in pursuing the present writ petition. The same is dismissed for non-prosecution.

All the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, are also disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

AUGUST 16, 2023

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No