

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP-23516-2016 (O & M)
Date of Decision : 14.09.2023

ROBIN AND ORS.Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Mahabir Singh Tanwar, Advocate
for Mr. Surinder Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. Learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana submits that the remedy to challenge the impugned annexures is through an appeal being raised thereagainst before the Appellate Authority concerned.
2. In view of the above, the learned counsel for the petitioners withdraws the writ petition but with liberty to avail the said statutory remedy.
3. Disposed of accordingly with the liberty aforesaid.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

14.09.2023

kavneet singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No