

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-662-CWP-2023 in/and
CWP-2443-2015
Date of Decision: 18.10.2023

Rekha Arora

..... Petitioner

Versus

Panjab University, Chandigarh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate assisted by
Mr. Kartikeya Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Indresh Goel, Advocate
for the respondents.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

CM-662-CWP-2023:

Prayer in the present application is for fixing the actual date of
hearing in the writ petition (CWP-2443-2015).

Learned counsel for the non-applicants/respondents submits
that he has no objection in case prayer made in the instant application is
allowed.

Keeping in view the aforesaid submission of learned counsel
for the non-applicants/respondents as well as the reasons mentioned in the
instant application, the same is allowed and the writ petition

(CWP-2443-2015) is taken up on Board today itself, for consideration.

Application is accordingly disposed of.

CWP-2443-2015:

1. Petitioner (Rekha Arora) has filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking regularization of her services, with effect from 07.03.2011. A further prayer has been made for directing the respondents to grant all the consequential benefits to the petitioner from the aforesaid date.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the juniors of petitioner were regularized, with effect from 07.03.2011, by the respondent-University, however, the claim of petitioner for regularization of her services was not considered from the said date and her services were regularized vide order dated 28.11.2014 (Annexure P-3) in terms of the Policy decision dated 18.07.2014 (Annexure P-1) taken by the Panjab University, Chandigarh.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the only grievance of petitioner at this stage is that her claim for regularization of services with effect from 07.03.2011, i.e. the date when her juniors were regularized, may fairly be considered by the respondent-University.

5. At this stage, learned counsel for the respondents submits that as far as the prayer of petitioner for considering her claim for regularization of her services with effect from 07.03.2011 is concerned, the respondent-University would have no objection in considering the said claim.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent-University to consider the claim of petitioner for

regularization of her services from the date when her juniors were regularized, i.e. 07.03.2011, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order, by passing a well reasoned order.

7. The present petition is disposed of in the aforesaid terms.
8. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

18.10.2023
Apurva

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No